

Boolean switching algebra

We have seen that arithmetic and other data can be represented by 2-value (binary).

We'll use the symbols 0 and 1.

- Boolean algebra - George Boole, 19 century
- Analysis of digital systems by using Boolean algebra - Claude Shannon 1938
- Every Boolean function ($\{0,1\} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$) can be expressed by three operators AND, OR, NOT.

Axioms of Boolean algebra

Boolean algebra - set of elements B with two binary operators $\{+\}$ and $\{\cdot\}$ and a unary operator $\{\prime\}$.

① B contains at least two elements a, b $a \neq b$.

② Closure: $\forall a, b \in B$

$$a + b \in B, \quad a \cdot b \in B$$

③ Commutative laws: $\forall a, b \in B$

$$a + b = b + a, \quad a \cdot b = b \cdot a$$

④ Identities existence

For $\{+\}$ there exists an element called
0 (zero) such that

$$a + 0 = a \quad \forall a \in B$$

For $\{\cdot\}$ there exists an element called
1 (one) such that

$$a \cdot 1 = a \quad \forall a \in B$$

⑤ Distribution laws: $\forall a, b, c \in B$

$$a + (b \cdot c) = (a + b) \cdot (a + c)$$

$$a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$$

⑥ Complement existence

$\forall a \in B \exists a' \in B$, called complement,
(unique)

such that

$$a + a' = 1$$

$$a \cdot a' = 0$$

Principle of duality

all axioms come in pairs, where one can be obtained from the other by

$$0 \Leftrightarrow 1, \{+\} \Leftrightarrow \{\cdot\}$$

⑤ Distribution law

$$a + (b \cdot c) = (a + b) \cdot (a + c)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \updownarrow & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & \updownarrow & \updownarrow \\ a & + & (b & \cdot & c) & = & (a & + & b) & \cdot & (a & + & c) \end{array}$$

$$a \cdot (b + c) = (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot c)$$

⑥ Complement

$$a + a' = 1$$

$$\begin{array}{cc} \updownarrow & \updownarrow \\ a & + & a' & = & 1 \end{array}$$

$$a \cdot a' = 0$$

Basic Theorems of Boolean Algebra

Theorem: $\forall a \in B \quad a + a = a, aa = a$

Proof: ① $a + a \stackrel{\text{axiom identity}}{=} (a + a) \cdot 1 \stackrel{\text{axiom complement}}{=} (a + a)(a + a')$

$\stackrel{\text{axiom distribution}}{=} a + a'a \stackrel{\text{axiom complement}}{=} a + 0 \stackrel{\text{axiom identity}}{=} a$

② $a \cdot a = a$ follows from duality

Theorem: $\forall a \in B \quad a + 1 = 1, a \cdot 0 = 0$

Proof: ① $a + 1 \stackrel{\text{identity}}{=} (a + 1) \cdot 1 \stackrel{\text{complement}}{=} (a + 1)(a + a')$

$\stackrel{\text{distribution}}{=} a + 1a' \stackrel{\text{identity + commutative}}{=} a + a' \stackrel{\text{complement}}{=} 1$

② $a \cdot 0 = 0$ follows from duality

Theorem (involution): $(a')' = a$

Proof

$(a')(a')' \stackrel{\text{com axiom}}{=} 0 \quad (a')a \stackrel{\text{com axiom}}{=} 0 \Rightarrow (a')' = a$
 complementarity

Theorem (absorption) $\forall a, b \in B, a + ab = a$
 $a \cdot (a + b) = a$

Proof: ① $a + ab \stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{identity}}}{=} a \cdot 1 + a \cdot b \stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{distribution}}}{=} a(1 + b) =$

$\stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{theorem}}}{=} a \cdot 1 \stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{identity}}}{=} a$

② $a \cdot (a + b) = a$ follows from duality

Theorem (associative) $\forall a, b, c \in B$

$$a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c, a(bc) = (ab)c$$

Theorem (consensus): $\forall x, y, z \in B$

$$xy + x'z + yz = xy + x'z$$

Proof: $xy + x'z + yz =$

$$= xy(z + z') + x'(y + y')z + (x + x')yz =$$

$$= xyz + xyz' + x'yz + x'y'z + xyz + x'y'z =$$

$$= xy(z + z') + x'z(y + y') = xy + x'z$$

duality: $(x+y) \cdot (x'+z)(y+z) = (x+y)(x+z')$

Theorem (De Morgan):

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)' = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i' \quad , \quad \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)' = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i'$$

Theorem (Shannon):

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) + x_i' f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = [x_i + f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)] + [x_i' + f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)]$$

Example: Show $(x+y)[x'(y'+z')] + x'y' + x'z' = 1$

$$(x+y)[x'(y'+z')] + x'y' + x'z' \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} \text{de morgan}$$

$$(x+y)[x+(y'+z')'] + x'y' + x'z' \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} \text{de morgan}$$

$$(xy)' = x' + y' \quad \text{De Morgan}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x' + y' &= (x' + y') \cdot 1 \quad (\text{axiom } a = a \cdot 1) \\ &= (x' + y') [xy + (xy)'] \quad (\text{axiom } a + a' = 1) \\ &= \underbrace{x'xy}_0 + \underbrace{x'(xy)'}_0 + \underbrace{y'xy}_0 + \underbrace{y'(xy)'}_0 \quad (\text{distrib.}) \\ &\quad \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ &\quad \quad \quad a a' b = (a a') b = 0 \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{associative} \\ \text{commutative} \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= x'(xy)' + y'(xy)' = (x' + y')(xy)' \quad (\text{distrib.}) \\ &= (x' + y')(xy)' + (xy)(xy)' \quad (\text{axiom } aa' = 0) \\ &= (x' + y' + xy)(xy)' \quad (\text{distrib.}) \\ &= (x' + y' + xy + xy)(xy)' \quad (\text{theorem } a + a = a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{theorem: } x' + y &= x' + xy + x'y = x'(1 + y) + xy = x' + xy \\ y' + x &= y' + xy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (x' + y' + x + y)(xy)' = (1 + 1)(xy)' \\ &= (xy)' \end{aligned}$$

Homework: Show by induction the general case

Sannon Expansion Theorem

Break into two cases: $x_i = 0$ and $x_i = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) &= \\ &= 1 \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) + \\ &\quad + 0 \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) = \\ &= x_i' \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) + \\ &\quad + x_i \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $x_i = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) &= \\ &= 1 \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) + \\ &\quad + 0 \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) = \\ &= x_i \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 1, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) + \\ &\quad + x_i' \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

Dual Proof Break into two cases

$$x_i = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\dots, x_i, \dots) &= \\ &= [0 + f(\dots, 1, \dots)] [1 + f(\dots, 0, \dots)] = \\ &= [x_i' + f(\dots, 1, \dots)] [x_i' + f(\dots, 0, \dots)] \end{aligned}$$

$$x_i = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\dots, x_i, \dots) &= \\ &= [0 + f(\dots, 0, \dots)] [1 + f(\dots, 1, \dots)] = \\ &= [x_i + f(\dots, 0, \dots)] [x_i' + f(\dots, 1, \dots)] \end{aligned}$$

-3.1-

$$(x+y)[x+yz] + x'y' + x'z' =$$

$$\underbrace{x + xy + xyz + yz}_{\text{absorption}} + x'y' + x'z' =$$

$$x + yz + x'y' + x'z' = x + x'(y' + z') + yz =$$

$$\underbrace{x(y' + z')}_{\textcircled{1}} + \underbrace{x(y' + z')'}_{\textcircled{3}} + \underbrace{x'(y' + z')}_{\textcircled{2}} + yz \stackrel{\text{De Morgan } \textcircled{3}}{=} \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} + yz$$

$$y' + z' + \underbrace{xyz + yz}_{\text{absorption}} = (yz)' + yz = 1$$

from Boolean to Switching algebra

$$B = \{0, 1\}$$

$\{+\} \rightarrow \text{OR}$, $\{\cdot\} \rightarrow \text{AND}$, $\{'\} \rightarrow \text{NOT}$

		y	
+		0	1
x	0	0	1
	1	1	1

		y	
·		0	1
x	0	0	0
	1	0	1

/		
x	0	1
	1	0

or alternatively -4-

Truth Table

OR		
X	Y	$X+Y$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1

AND		
X	Y	$X \cdot Y$
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

NOT	
X	X'
0	1
1	0

Proving identities by truth table

$$x + yz = (x + y)(x + z)$$

X	Y	Z	YZ	$X+YZ$	$X+Y$	$X+Z$	$\frac{(X+Y) \cdot (X+Z)}{(X+YZ)}$
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Boolean algebra resembles ordinary algebra but

distribution
axiom

$$a + (b \cdot c) = (a + b) \cdot (a + c)$$

doesn't exist in ordinary algebra

complement axiom's do not exist in ordinary algebra

$$x = y \Rightarrow x + z = y + z \quad \text{in both}$$

$$x + z = y + z \not\Rightarrow x = y \quad \text{in Boolean}$$

cancellation doesn't exist in Boolean algebra

Boolean Functions

Boolean expressions: $0, 1, \{x_{m-1}, \dots, x_0\}$

- ① $0, 1, x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}$ are expressions
- ② if E_1 and E_2 are expression, then $E_1', E_2', E_1 + E_2, E_1 \cdot E_2$ are expression

Expressions are regarded as Boolean (Switching) functions.

- Consider $F(x_{m-1}, \dots, x_0)$ Boolean function of n variables
- There are 2^n possible assignments (distinct) of $x_{m-1}, \dots, x_0$ with 0 and 1
- Each assignment may result $F=1$ or $F=0$
- Consequently there are 2^{2^n} distinct Boolean functions of n variables.

Different expressions may yield same function

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E_1 &= x_1 x_2 + x_1' x_3 + x_2 x_3 \\ E_2 &= x_1 x_2 + x_1' x_3 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{equivalent by consensus}$$

E_2 can be obtained from E_1 by axioms and laws of Boolean algebra

F' , $F + G$ and FG are well defined by

NOT, OR and AND, resulting in a new function

Example

x_3	x_2	x_1	F	G	F'	$F+G$	$F \cdot G$
0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0
1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0
1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1

2-Variable Functions - Binary logic operations

F_1	F_2	F_3	F_4	F_5	F_6	F_7	F_8	F_9	F_{10}	F_{11}	F_{12}	F_{13}	F_{14}	F_{15}	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	constant
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	x implies y
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	$F_{13} = x + y$
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$F_{12} = x'$
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$F_{11} = x + y'$
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$F_{10} = y'$
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$F_9 = xy + x'y'$
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$F_8 = (x + y)'$
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$F_7 = x + y$
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$F_6 = xy' + x'y$
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$F_5 = y$
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$F_4 = xy$
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$F_3 = x$
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$F_2 = xy'$
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	$F_1 = xy$

and

*inhibit

Transfer

*inhibit

Transfer

$x \oplus y$

or

Nor (Not or)

Equivalence

complement

y implies x

complement

x implies y

Nand (not and)

$F_{15} = 1$

* hardly in use

constant	$F_0 = 0$
And	$F_1 = xy$
Inhibit	$F_2 = x y'$
Transfer	$F_3 = x$
Inhibit	$F_4 = x' y$
Transfer	$F_5 = y$
Xor $x \oplus y$	$F_6 = x y' + x' y$
or	$F_7 = x + y$
Nor (Not Or)	$F_8 = (x + y)'$
equivalence $\odot$	$F_9 = xy + x'y'$
complement	$F_{10} = y'$
y implies x	$F_{11} = x + y'$
complement	$F_{12} = x'$
x implies y	$F_{13} = x' + y$

x	y	F_0	F_1	F_2	F_3	F_4	F_5	F_6	F_7	F_8	F_9	F_{10}	F_{11}	F_{12}	F_{13}
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0

Extension to Multiple Variables

- OR and AND are commutative and associative, hence can be extended to any number of Variables.
- XOR is commutative and associative so can be extended
- 2-Variable equivalence is complement of XOR, hence associative and commutative
- However, 3-variable $\odot$ is not complement of $\oplus$ but rather equal.

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \oplus y \oplus z &= (x \oplus y) \oplus z = (x'y + xy')z' + \\
 &\quad \text{De-Morgan} \\
 (x'y + xy')'z &\stackrel{=}{=} x'y'z' + xy'z' + (x+y')(x+y)z = \\
 &= xyz + xy'z' + x'y'z + x'y'z
 \end{aligned}$$

x	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
y	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
z	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1

$$\begin{aligned} x \odot y \odot z &= (x'y' + xy)z + (x'y' + xy)'z' = \\ &= x'y'z + xyz + (x+y) \cdot (x'+y')z' = \\ &= xyz + x'y'z + xy'z' + x'yz' \end{aligned}$$

We obtain same truth table

In general for even # variables $\oplus$ and $\odot$ are complementary, while for odd # they are similar

$\oplus$ XOR is odd function: for odd # of 1's it equals 1

Proof: for $n=2$ trivial. Assume it is true for $k-1$

$$x_0 \oplus x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_{k-1} \oplus x_k =$$

$$(x_0 \oplus x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_{k-1}) \oplus x_k = W \oplus x_k =$$

$$= Wx_k' + W'x_k$$

$$x_k = 0$$

Let # 1's be odd
(even)

$$x_k = 1$$

⊙ Equivalence is even for even # 0's it equals 1

Proof: By induction. $n=2$ trivial

$$x_0 \odot \dots \odot x_{k-1} \odot x_k = (x_0 \odot \dots \odot x_{k-1}) \odot x_k =$$

$$= W \odot x_k = W x_k + W' x_k'$$

Let # 0's be even $\swarrow x_k = 0$
(odd) $\searrow x_k = 1$

NOR and NAND are commutative but not associative

Proof:

$$((x+y)' + z)' \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} (x+y) \cdot z' = xz' + yz'$$

DeMorgan

$$(x + (y+z)')' = x'(y+z) = x'y + x'z$$

similar proof for NAND

Redefinition of multi-variable NOR and NAND

$$(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)'$$

$$(x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n)'$$

Functional Completeness

A set of operations is functionally complete iff every Boolean function can be expressed in terms of these operations

$\{+, \cdot, '\}$ is complete because this is how it was defined

$\{\cdot, '\}$ is complete since

$$x + y \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} (x' y')'$$

De-Morgan

$\{+, '\}$ is complete since

$$x \cdot y \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} (x' + y')'$$

De-Morgan

{NOR} is complete since

$$\underset{\text{NOT}}{x'} = x'x' = (\underset{\text{NOR}}{x+x})'$$

$$(\underset{\text{OR}}{x+y}) = (x+y) \cdot (x+y) = ((\underset{\text{NOR}}{x+y})' + (\underset{\text{NOR}}{x+y})')'$$

Example: The set $\{f, 1\}$

is complete $f(x, y, z) = x'y + x'z + yz'$

$$f(x, x, x) = x'x' + x'x' + x'x' = x'x' = \underset{\text{NOT}}{x'}$$

$$f(f(x, x, x), f(y, y, y), 1) = f(x', y', 1) =$$

$$xy + x \cdot 0 + y \cdot 0 = xy$$

AND

Complements

Use either De Morgan or dual and then complement every variable

$$f = xy'z + x'y z'$$

$$\begin{aligned} f' &= (xy'z + x'y z')' = (xy'z)' \cdot (x'y z')' = \\ &= (x' + y + z')(x + y' + z) \end{aligned}$$

$$f = xy'z + x'y'z' \rightarrow (x+y'+z)(x'+y+z') = f^a$$

now complement every variable

$$f' = (x'+y+z')(x+y'+z)$$

Boolean Canonical Forms: Derivation of Boolean expressions from truth table

$xy, x'y, xy', x'y'$ - AND-product terms

$x+y, x'+y, x+y', x'+y'$ - OR-sum terms

any of x, x' is called literal (same y, y')

$x_1^* \dots x_n^*$ - product of n distinct literals is called minterm (minimum)

$x_1^* + \dots + x_n^*$ - sum of n distinct literals is called maxterm (maximum)

* We seek for combination of ^{values of} literals which defines uniquely minterms and maxterms

* Since minterm is a product of literals, it is unique when all the literals are 1

Maxterm is unique when all literals are 0

Decimal	x	y	z	minterm	Maxterm
0	0	0	0	$x'y'z' (m_0)$	$x+y+z (M_0)$
1	0	0	1	$x'y'z (m_1)$	$x+y+z' (M_1)$
2	0	1	0	$x'y z' (m_2)$	$x+y'+z (M_2)$
3	0	1	1	$x'y z (m_3)$	$x+y'+z' (M_3)$
4	1	0	0	$x y' z' (m_4)$	$x'+y+z (M_4)$
5	1	0	1	$x y' z (m_5)$	$x'+y+z' (M_5)$
6	1	1	0	$x y z' (m_6)$	$x'+y'+z (M_6)$
7	1	1	1	$x y z (m_7)$	$x'+y'+z' (M_7)$

Example:

m_{13} - minterm $(13)_{10} = (01101)_2 : x_4' x_3 x_2 x_1' x_0$

M_{17} - maxterm $(17)_{10} = (10001)_2 : x_4 + x_3 + x_2 + x_1 + x_0$

$$m_i m_j = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ m_i & i = j \end{cases}, \quad M_i + M_j = \begin{cases} 1 & i \neq j \\ M_i & i = j \end{cases}$$

$$m_i = M_i', \quad M_i = m_i' \text{ by De-Morgan}$$

How to derive Boolean expression from truth table?

- Summing (OR ing) minterms for which the function is 1 in table
- Multiplying (AND ing) maxterms for which the function is 0 in table

Example

Decimal	x_2	x_1	x_0	f
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1
2	0	1	0	0
3	0	1	1	0
4	1	0	0	1
5	1	0	1	1
6	1	1	0	1
7	1	1	1	1

$$f(x_2, x_1, x_0) = M_1 + M_4 + M_5 + M_6 + M_7 =$$

$$x_2'x_1'x_0 + x_2x_1'x_0' + x_2x_1'x_0 + x_2x_1x_0' + x_2x_1x_0 =$$

$$\Sigma(1, 4, 5, 6, 7)$$

This is called Canonical Sum of Products SOP

$$f(x_2, x_1, x_0) = M_0 M_2 M_3 =$$

$$(x_2 + x_1 + x_0)(x_2 + x_1' + x_0)(x_2 + x_1' + x_0') =$$

$$= \Pi(0, 2, 3)$$

This is called Canonical Product of Sums POS

Theorem: Every Boolean function $f(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_0)$ can be written as

$$f(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \alpha_k M_k \quad \text{Canonical SOP}$$

or

$$f(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_0) = \prod_{k=0}^{2^n-1} (\beta_k + M_k) \quad \text{Canonical POS}$$

$$\alpha_k = \beta_k = f(k) = f(k_{n-1}, \dots, k_0)$$

0/1 decimal

Example

How to obtain Canonical SOP for all $2^{2^3} = 2^8 = 256$ functions of 3 variables?

$$f(x, y, z) \stackrel{\text{Shannon}}{=} x f(1, y, z) + x' f(0, y, z) \stackrel{\text{Shannon}}{=} \\ x [y f(1, 1, z) + y' f(1, 0, z)] + x' [y f(0, 1, z) + y' f(0, 0, z)] = \\ = \dots =$$

↑
Shannon

$$f(0, 0, 0) x' y' z' + f(0, 0, 1) x' y' z + f(0, 1, 0) x' y z' + \\ f(0, 1, 1) x' y z + f(1, 0, 0) x y z' + f(1, 0, 1) x y z + \\ f(1, 1, 0) x y z' + f(1, 1, 1) x y z$$

Each of $f(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ is either 0 or 1, depending on f .

Shannon-dual

$$\text{Similarly } f(x, y, z) \stackrel{\text{Shannon-dual}}{=} [x + f(0, y, z)] [x' + f(1, y, z)] = \\ = [x + [y + f(0, 0, z)] [y' + f(0, 1, z)]] \\ [x' + [y + f(1, 0, z)] [y' + f(1, 1, z)]] = \dots$$

Proof of Theorem

By Induction. Assume that hypothesis exists for $n-1$ variables $x_{n-2}, \dots, x_0$. Then, by Shannon theorem

$$f(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \dots, x_0) =$$

$$x_{n-1} f(1, x_{n-2}, \dots, x_0) + x_{n-1}' f(0, x_{n-2}, \dots, x_0).$$

By induction

$$f(1, x_{n-2}, \dots, x_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n-2}-1} \alpha_k m_k$$

$$\alpha_k = f(1, \underbrace{k_{n-2}, \dots, k_0}_{\text{or decimal } k})$$

similarly

$$f(0, x_{n-2}, \dots, x_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n-2}-1} \alpha_k m_k$$

$$\alpha_k = f(0, \underbrace{k_{n-2}, \dots, k_0}_{\text{or decimal } k})$$

$$x = x_{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n-2}-1} \alpha_k m_k + x_{n-1}' \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n-2}-1} \alpha_k m_k$$

Relations between SOP and POS canonical forms

$$\text{SOP} \xleftrightarrow[\text{De Morgan}]{\quad} \text{POS}$$

Example

$$f(x_2, x_1, x_0) = \sum (1, 4, 5, 6, 7)$$

$$f'(x_2, x_1, x_0) = \sum (0, 2, 3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f = (f')' &= [\sum (0, 2, 3)]' = m_0' m_2' m_3' = M_0 M_2 M_3 = \\ &= \prod (0, 2, 3) \end{aligned}$$

Complements and dual of canonical forms

Let $f = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \alpha_i m_i$ - canonical SOP

then $f' = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \alpha_i m_i \right)' \underset{\text{De Morgan}}{=} \prod_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (\alpha_i' + m_i') \underset{m_i' = M_i}{=} \prod_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (\alpha_i' + M_i)$

Let $f = \prod_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (\beta_i + M_i)$

$$f' = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (\beta_i + M_i) \right)' = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \beta_i' M_i' = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \beta_i' m_i$$

Example $f = \Sigma(1, 2, 3, 4) = \Pi(0, 5, 6, 7)$

$$\bar{\alpha} = (0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0), \quad \bar{\beta} = (0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$f' = (\Sigma(1, 2, 3, 4))' =$$

$$(1+m_0)(0+m_1)(0+m_2)(0+m_3)(0+m_4)(1+m_5)(1+m_6)(1+m_7)$$

$$\Pi(1, 2, 3, 4)$$

$$f' = (\Pi(0, 5, 6, 7))' =$$

$$= 1 \cdot m_0 + 0 \cdot m_1 + 0 \cdot m_2 + 0 \cdot m_3 + 0 \cdot m_4 + 1 \cdot m_5 + 1 \cdot m_6 + 1 \cdot m_7 =$$

$$\Sigma(0, 5, 6, 7)$$

Duals

$$f^d = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \alpha_i m_i \right)^d = \prod_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (\alpha_i^d + m_i^d) = \prod_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (\alpha_i^d + m_{2^n-1-i})$$

$$f^d = \left[\prod_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (\beta_i + m_i) \right]^d = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \beta_i^d m_i^d = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \beta_i^d m_{2^n-1-i}$$

Example

$$f^d = [\Sigma(1, 2, 3, 4)]^d = (m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4)^d =$$

$$= m_1^d m_2^d m_3^d m_4^d = M_6 M_5 M_4 M_3$$

$$f^d = [\Pi(0, 5, 6, 7)]^d = (M_0 M_5 M_6 M_7)^d =$$

$$= M_0^d M_5^d M_6^d M_7^d = m_7 + m_2 + m_2 + m_0$$

Finding the expression of dual means finding the expression for which the function = 0 rather than function = 1. This is not complementary.

Non Canonical forms - Simplified forms

Simplified or minimized forms are essential for efficient hardware.

Conversion to canonical - may be required for simplification.

Example: Convert $f(x,y,z) = x'y + z + xy'$ to Canonical SOP

what is missing in every product

$$x'y + z + xy' \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} x'y(z+z') + (x+x')(y+y')z +$$

$$xy'(z+z') = x'yz + x'yz' + xyz + x'y'z + xy'z + x^4y'z' = \Sigma(1,2,3,4,5,7)$$

Further conversion to canonical POS - use maxterms where f is zero

$$f(x,y,z) = \Pi(0,6) = (x+y+z)(x'+y'+z')$$

Example: Convert $f(x,y,z) = x(y'+z)$ to Canonical POS

$$x = x + yy' \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} (x+y)(x+y') \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} (x+y+zz')(x+y+zz') \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} [(x+y+z)(x+y+z')][(x+y'+z)(x+y'+z')]$$

$$y'+z = x x' + y' + z \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} (x+y'+z)(x'+y'+z')$$

$$f(x,y,z) = M_0 \cdot M_1 \cdot M_2 \cdot M_3 \cdot M_2 \cdot M_6 = \Pi(0,1,2,3,6)$$